

# Subspaces fixed by a nilpotent matrix

Mima Stanojkovski,  
joint work with M. A. Hahn, G. Nebe, B. Sturmfels

TRR-195 Annual Meeting 2022  
Blaubeuren, 19-22 September

**RWTH**AACHEN  
UNIVERSITY

MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUT  
FÜR MATHEMATIK  
IN DEN NATURWISSENSCHAFTEN



- Y. El Maazouz, M. A. Hahn, G. Nebe, M. Stanojkovski, B. Sturmfels, *Orders and Polytopes: Matrix Algebras from Valuations*, Beitr. Algebra Geom. 63, 515-531 (2022).
- Y. El Maazouz, G. Nebe, M Stanojkovski, *Bolytrope orders*, Int. J. Number Theory (2022).
- M. Stanojkovski, *Submodule codes as spherical codes in buildings*, arXiv 2202.13370.
- M. A. Hahn, G. Nebe, M. Stanojkovski, B. Sturmfels, *Subspaces fixed by a nilpotent matrix*, arXiv 2207.00802.

The linear spaces that are fixed by a given nilpotent  $n \times n$  matrix form a *subvariety of the Grassmannian*. We classify these varieties for small  $n$ . Muthiah, Weekes and Yacobi conjectured that their radical ideals are generated by certain linear forms known as shuffle equations. We *prove* this conjecture for  $n \leq 7$ , and we *disprove* it for  $n = 8$ . The question remains open for nilpotent matrices arising from the *affine Grassmannian*.

D. Muthiah, A. Weekes and O. Yacobi: *The equations defining affine Grassmannians in type A and a conjecture of Kreiman, Lakshmibai, Magyar, and Weyman*, International Mathematics Research Notices **3** (2022) 1922-1972.

## Intro › The Grassmannian

For a field  $K$ , the **Grassmannian**  $\text{Gr}(\ell, n)$  parametrizes the  $\ell$ -dimensional vector subspaces of  $K^n$ .

Each  $L \in \text{Gr}(\ell, n)$  can be represented as the **row space** of a  $(\ell \times n)$ -matrix  $\mathbf{L}$ .

Alternatively,  $L$  can be represented via its **Plücker coordinates**, i.e. via its image under the Plücker embedding  $\text{Gr}(\ell, n) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{\ell}-1}$  where  $\mathbf{L}$  is mapped to the vector of its maximal minors  $p_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_\ell}$ .

**Remark.** The Grassmannian  $\text{Gr}(\ell, n)$  is Zariski closed and irreducible. Its dimension is  $(n - \ell)\ell$  and its homogeneous prime ideal has a natural Gröbner basis of quadrics, known as the **Plücker quadrics**.

## Intro › An example for $\ell = 2$ and $n = 4$

Take  $\ell = 2$  and  $n = 4$ .

The Grassmannian  $\text{Gr}(2, 4)$  is the image of the map

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ e & f & g & h \end{pmatrix} \mapsto (af-be : ag-ce : bg-cf : ah-de : bh-df : ch-dg) \in \mathbb{P}^5$$

and parametrizes the 2-dimensional subspaces of  $K^4$ .

## Intro › An example for $\ell = 2$ and $n = 4$

Take  $\ell = 2$  and  $n = 4$ .

The Grassmannian  $\text{Gr}(2, 4)$  is the image of the map

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ e & f & g & h \end{pmatrix} \mapsto (af - be : ag - ce : bg - cf : ah - de : bh - df : ch - dg) \in \mathbb{P}^5$$

and parametrizes the 2-dimensional subspaces of  $K^4$ .

Writing  $(p_{12} : p_{13} : p_{23} : p_{14} : p_{24} : p_{34})$  for the coordinates in  $\mathbb{P}^5$ , its prime ideal is generated by the Plücker quadric

$$p_{23}p_{14} - p_{13}p_{24} + p_{12}p_{34}.$$

Its dimension is 4 and thus  $\text{Gr}(2, 4)$  is a hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^5$ .

## Intro › The $T$ -Grassmannian

Let  $T \in K^{n \times n}$  be nilpotent, i.e.  $T^n = 0$ .

The  $T$ -Grassmannian  $\text{Gr}(\ell, n)^T$  is the subvariety of  $\text{Gr}(\ell, n)$  that parametrizes all  $L$  in  $\text{Gr}(\ell, n)$  with  $LT \subseteq L$ .

## Intro > The $T$ -Grassmannian

Let  $T \in K^{n \times n}$  be nilpotent, i.e.  $T^n = 0$ .

The  $T$ -Grassmannian  $\text{Gr}(\ell, n)^T$  is the subvariety of  $\text{Gr}(\ell, n)$  that parametrizes all  $L$  in  $\text{Gr}(\ell, n)$  with  $LT \subseteq L$ .

**Example.** If  $T = 0$ , then  $\text{Gr}(\ell, n)^T = \text{Gr}(\ell, n)$ . If  $\ell = 1$ , then  $\text{Gr}(\ell, n)^T$  is the same as  $\ker T$ .

We are interested in the homogeneous **radical ideal** of  $\text{Gr}(\ell, n)^T$  in the Plücker coordinates  $p_{i_1 \dots i_\ell}$ .



## Intro › The $T$ -Grassmannian

Let  $T \in K^{n \times n}$  be nilpotent, i.e.  $T^n = 0$ .

The  $T$ -Grassmannian  $\text{Gr}(\ell, n)^T$  is the subvariety of  $\text{Gr}(\ell, n)$  that parametrizes all  $L$  in  $\text{Gr}(\ell, n)$  with  $LT \subseteq L$ .

**Example.** If  $T = 0$ , then  $\text{Gr}(\ell, n)^T = \text{Gr}(\ell, n)$ . If  $\ell = 1$ , then  $\text{Gr}(\ell, n)^T$  is the same as  $\ker T$ .

We are interested in the homogeneous **radical ideal** of  $\text{Gr}(\ell, n)^T$  in the Plücker coordinates  $p_{i_1 \dots i_\ell}$ .

**Theorem.** (Mutiah, Weekes, Yacobi) The variety  $\text{Gr}(\ell, n)^T$  is the intersection of the Grassmannian  $\text{Gr}(\ell, n)$  with a linear subspace in  $\mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{\ell}-1}$ . That linear subspace is defined by the *shuffle equations*.

**Conjecture.** (Mutiah, Weekes, Yacobi) The shuffle equations plus the Plücker quadrics generate the radical ideal of  $\text{Gr}(\ell, n)^T$ .

## Intro $\rangle$ Another example for $\ell = 2$ and $n = 4$

Fix  $\epsilon \in K$  and let

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \epsilon \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The ideal of  $\text{Gr}(2, 4)^T$  is

( $\epsilon \neq 0$ ) prime and equal to

$$\langle p_{13}, p_{14} + \epsilon p_{23}, p_{12}p_{34} - \epsilon p_{23}^2 \rangle = \langle p_{13}, p_{14} + \epsilon p_{23} \rangle + \text{ideal of } \text{Gr}(2, 4),$$

( $\epsilon = 0$ ) radical (but not prime!) and equal to

$$\langle p_{13}, p_{14}, p_{12}p_{34} \rangle = \langle p_{13}, p_{14}, p_{34} \rangle \cap \langle p_{12}, p_{13}, p_{14} \rangle.$$

So  $\text{Gr}(2, 4)^T$  can be singular or reducible. For any  $\epsilon$ , its radical ideal is generated by two linear forms plus the Plücker quadric  $p_{12}p_{34} - p_{13}p_{23} + p_{14}p_{23}$ .

## Reductions › Jordan normal form

Assume that  $T$  is in Jordan canonical form. The necessary change of basis in  $K^n$  works over  $K$  as all eigenvalues of  $T$  are 0!

Write  $T = T_\lambda$  with  $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_s)$  a partition of  $n$ .

**Example.** The operator from the previous slide is in JNF if  $\epsilon = 0$  or  $\epsilon = 1$ , in which case  $\lambda = (2, 1, 1)$  resp.  $\lambda = (2, 2)$ .

**Example.** If  $\lambda = (4, 2, 2)$ , then

$$T = T_\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

## Reductions › Shuffle equations

If  $z \in K$ , then  $\text{Id}_n + zT$  is an automorphism of  $K^n$ . Moreover,

$$LT \subseteq L \iff L(\text{Id}_n + zT) = L \text{ for all } z.$$

## Reductions › Shuffle equations

If  $z \in K$ , then  $\text{Id}_n + zT$  is an automorphism of  $K^n$ . Moreover,

$$LT \subseteq L \iff L(\text{Id}_n + zT) = L \text{ for all } z.$$

If  $P \in K^{\binom{n}{\ell}}$  is the vector of Plücker coordinates of  $L$ , then

$$LT \subseteq L \iff P \cdot \wedge_{\ell}(\text{Id}_n + zT) = P.$$

## Reductions › Shuffle equations

If  $z \in K$ , then  $\text{Id}_n + zT$  is an automorphism of  $K^n$ . Moreover,

$$LT \subseteq L \iff L(\text{Id}_n + zT) = L \text{ for all } z.$$

If  $P \in K^{\binom{n}{\ell}}$  is the vector of Plücker coordinates of  $L$ , then

$$LT \subseteq L \iff P \cdot \wedge_{\ell}(\text{Id}_n + zT) = P.$$

Writing  $\wedge_{\ell}(\text{Id}_n + zT) = \wedge_{\ell}\text{Id}_n + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} [\wedge_{\ell}(\text{Id}_n + zT)]_i z^i$ :

$$LT \subseteq L \iff P \cdot [\wedge_{\ell}(\text{Id}_n + zT)]_i = 0 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell.$$

This is a finite collection of linear forms in the  $\binom{n}{\ell}$  Plücker coordinates  $p_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_{\ell}}$ . These are the **shuffle equations** of  $T$ .

## Reductions $\rangle$ Another example for $\ell = 2$ and $n = 4$

Let  $T$  be as before and  $P = (p_{12}, p_{13}, p_{23}, p_{14}, p_{24}, p_{34})$ . Then

$$\wedge_2(\text{Id}_4 + zT) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & z & \epsilon z & \epsilon z^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \epsilon z & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & z & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

and so

$$P \cdot [\wedge_2(\text{Id}_4 + zT)]_1 = (0, 0, p_{13}, \epsilon p_{13}, \epsilon p_{23} + p_{14}, 0),$$

$$P \cdot [\wedge_2(\text{Id}_4 + zT)]_2 = (0, 0, 0, 0, \epsilon p_{13}, 0).$$

The **coordinates** are the shuffle equations.

**Theorem.** (Mutiah, Weekes, Yacobi) The variety  $\text{Gr}(\ell, n)^T$  is the intersection of the Grassmannian  $\text{Gr}(\ell, n)$  with a linear subspace in  $\mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{\ell}-1}$ . That linear subspace is defined by the shuffle equations.

**Remark.**

- MWY conjectured that the ideal generated by the Plücker quadrics and the shuffle equations is radical.
- We use computer algebra systems (Macaulay2) to settle this conjecture for  $n \leq 8$ .



**Theorem.** (Mutiah, Weekes, Yacobi) The variety  $\text{Gr}(\ell, n)^T$  is the intersection of the Grassmannian  $\text{Gr}(\ell, n)$  with a linear subspace in  $\mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{\ell}-1}$ . That linear subspace is defined by the shuffle equations.

**Remark.**

- MWY conjectured that the ideal generated by the Plücker quadrics and the shuffle equations is radical.
- We use computer algebra systems (Macaulay2) to settle this conjecture for  $n \leq 8$ .

**Theorem.** The varieties  $\text{Gr}(\ell, n)^T$  and  $\text{Gr}(n - \ell, n)^T$  coincide after a linear change of coordinates in the ambient space  $\mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{\ell}-1}$ . Under this coordinate change, which depends on  $T$ , the shuffle equations coincide.

## The classification › Our main theorem

**Theorem.** Fix  $1 \leq \ell < n \leq 7$  and let  $T$  be any nilpotent  $n \times n$  matrix. Then the shuffle equations generate the radical ideal of the fixed point locus  $\text{Gr}(\ell, n)^T$ . The same does not hold for  $n = 8$ : there is a unique partition, namely  $\lambda = (4, 2, 2)$ , and a unique dimension, namely  $\ell = 4$ , such that the radical ideal of  $\text{Gr}(\ell, n)^{T_\lambda}$  is not generated by the shuffle equations.

For each  $(\lambda, \ell)$ , we write  $[\sigma, \delta, \gamma]^\kappa$  meaning:

- $\sigma$  is the number of linearly independent shuffle equations,
- $\delta$  and  $\gamma$  are the dimension and degree of  $\text{Gr}(\ell, n)^{T_\lambda}$  in  $\mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{\ell}-1}$ ,
- $\kappa$  is the number of irreducible components of  $\text{Gr}(\ell, n)^T$ .

## The classification › Small values of $n$

- $n = 2$ : here  $\ell = 1$  and so we reduce to  $\ker T \subseteq K^n$
- $n = 3$ : here duality allows us to reduce to  $\ell = 1$

## The classification $\rangle$ Small values of $n$

- $n = 2$ : here  $\ell = 1$  and so we reduce to  $\ker T \subseteq K^n$
- $n = 3$ : here duality allows us to reduce to  $\ell = 1$
- for  $4 \leq n \leq 8$ , we compute:

$\lambda$	$\ell = 1$	$\ell = 2$
$(1,1,1,1)$	$[0,3,1]$	$[0,4,2]$
$(2,1,1)$	$[1,2,1]$	$[2,2,2]^2$
$(2,2)$	$[2,1,1]$	$[2,2,2]$
$(3,1)$	$[2,1,1]$	$[4,1,1]$
$(4)$	$[3,0,1]$	$[5,0,1]$

$\lambda$	$\ell = 1$	$\ell = 2$
$(1,1,1,1,1)$	$[0,4,1]$	$[0,6,5]$
$(2,1,1,1)$	$[1,3,1]$	$[3,4,2]^2$
$(2,2,1)$	$[2,2,1]$	$[4,3,3]$
$(3,1,1)$	$[2,2,1]$	$[6,2,2]^2$
$(3,2)$	$[3,1,1]$	$[6,2,2]$
$(4,1)$	$[3,1,1]$	$[8,1,1]$
$(5)$	$[4,0,1]$	$[9,0,1]$

Table 1: Fixed point loci  $\text{Gr}(\ell, n)^T$  for  $n = 4$  and  $n = 5$ .

# The classification $\rangle$ More values of $n$

$\lambda$	$\ell = 1$	$\ell = 2$	$\ell = 3$
(1,1,1,1,1,1)	[0,5,1]	[0,8,14]	[0,9,42]
(2,1,1,1,1)	[1,4,1]	[4,6,5] <sup>2</sup>	[6,6,10] <sup>2</sup>
(2,2,1,1)	[2,3,1]	[6,4,6] <sup>2</sup>	[8,5,10]
(3,1,1,1)	[2,3,1]	[8,4,2] <sup>2</sup>	[12,4,2] <sup>3</sup>
(2,2,2)	[3,2,1]	[6,4,6]	[11,4,6]
(3,2,1)	[3,2,1]	[9,3,3]	[12,3,6] <sup>2</sup>
(4,1,1)	[3,2,1]	[11,2,2] <sup>2</sup>	[16,2,2] <sup>2</sup>
(3,3)	[4,1,1]	[11,2,2]	[12,3,6]
(4,2)	[4,1,1]	[11,2,2]	[16,2,2]
(5,1)	[4,1,1]	[13,1,1]	[18,1,1]
(6)	[5,0,1]	[14,0,1]	[19,0,1]

$\lambda$	$\ell = 1$	$\ell = 2$	$\ell = 3$
(1,1,1,1,1,1)	[0,6,1]	[35,10,42]	[140,12,462]
(2,1,1,1,1,1)	[1,5,1]	[5,8,14] <sup>2</sup>	[10,9,42] <sup>2</sup>
(2,2,1,1,1)	[2,4,1]	[8,6,5] <sup>2</sup>	[14,7,35] <sup>2</sup>
(3,1,1,1,1)	[2,4,1]	[10,6,5] <sup>2</sup>	[20,6,10] <sup>3</sup>
(2,2,2,1)	[3,3,1]	[9,5,10]	[17,6,30]
(3,2,1,1)	[3,3,1]	[12,4,6] <sup>2</sup>	[21,5,10] <sup>2</sup>
(4,1,1,1)	[3,3,1]	[14,4,2] <sup>2</sup>	[27,4,2] <sup>3</sup>
(3,2,2)	[4,2,1]	[12,4,6]	[23,4,12] <sup>2</sup>
(3,3,1)	[4,2,1]	[15,3,3]	[23,4,12]
(4,2,1)	[4,2,1]	[15,3,3]	[27,3,6] <sup>2</sup>
(5,1,1)	[4,2,1]	[17,2,2] <sup>2</sup>	[31,2,2] <sup>2</sup>
(4,3)	[5,1,1]	[17,2,2]	[27,3,6]
(5,2)	[5,1,1]	[17,2,2]	[31,2,2]
(6,1)	[5,1,1]	[19,1,1]	[33,1,1]
(7)	[6,0,1]	[20,0,1]	[34,0,1]

Table 2: Fixed point loci  $\text{Gr}(\ell, n)^T$  for  $n = 6$  and  $n = 7$ .

# The classification $\rangle$ When $n = 8$

$\lambda$	$\ell = 2$	$\ell = 3$	$\ell = 4$
(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)	[0,12,132]	[420,15,6006]	[721,16,24024]
(2,1,1,1,1,1,1)	[6,10,42] <sup>2</sup>	[15,12,462] <sup>2</sup>	[20,12,924] <sup>2</sup>
(2,2,1,1,1,1)	[10,8,14] <sup>2</sup>	[22,9,168] <sup>2</sup>	[28,10,420] <sup>3</sup>
(3,1,1,1,1,1)	[12,8,14] <sup>2</sup>	[30,9,42] <sup>3</sup>	[40,9,42] <sup>3</sup>
(2,2,2,1,1)	[12,6,20] <sup>2</sup>	[26,8,140]	[34,8,280] <sup>2</sup>
(3,2,1,1,1)	[15,6,5] <sup>2</sup>	[33,7,35] <sup>3</sup>	[42,7,70] <sup>2</sup>
(4,1,1,1,1)	[17,6,5] <sup>2</sup>	[41,6,10] <sup>3</sup>	[54,6,10] <sup>4</sup>
(2,2,2,2)	[12,6,20]	[32,7,70]	[34,8,280]
(3,2,2,1)	[16,5,10]	[35,6,30] <sup>2</sup>	[46,6,60] <sup>2</sup>
(3,3,1,1)	[19,4,6] <sup>2</sup>	[38,5,30] <sup>2</sup>	[46,6,60]
(4,2,1,1)	[19,4,6] <sup>2</sup>	[42,5,10] <sup>2</sup>	[54,5,10] <sup>3</sup>
(5,1,1,1)	[21,4,2] <sup>2</sup>	[48,4,2] <sup>3</sup>	[62,4,2] <sup>3</sup>
(3,3,2)	[19,4,6]	[38,5,30]	[52,5,30]
(4,2,2)	[19,4,6]	[44,4,12] <sup>2</sup>	[54,4,24] <sup>3</sup>
(4,3,1)	[22,3,3]	[44,4,12]	[54,4,24] <sup>2</sup>
(5,2,1)	[22,3,3]	[48,3,6] <sup>2</sup>	[62,3,6] <sup>2</sup>
(6,1,1)	[24,2,2] <sup>2</sup>	[52,2,2] <sup>2</sup>	[66,2,2] <sup>2</sup>
(4,4)	[24,2,2]	[48,3,6]	[54,4,24]
(5,3)	[24,2,2]	[48,3,6]	[62,3,6]
(6,2)	[24,2,2]	[52,2,2]	[66,2,2]
(7,1)	[26,1,1]	[54,1,1]	[68,1,1]
(8)	[27,0,1]	[55,0,1]	[69,0,1]

Table 3: Fixed point loci  $\text{Gr}(\ell, n)^T$  for  $n = 8$ .

## Rectangular partitions › Irreducibility

A **rectangular partition** is  $\lambda = \underbrace{(r, \dots, r)}_{d \text{ times}}$  so  $n = dr$ .

**Theorem.** If  $\lambda$  is a rectangular partition then the variety  $\text{Gr}(\ell, n)^{T_\lambda}$  is irreducible.

**Conjecture.** The MWY-conjecture holds for rectangular partitions  $\lambda$ . In other words, for rectangular partitions, the shuffle equations plus Plücker quadrics generate a prime ideal.

**Remark.** Conjecture true for  $n \leq 8$  where the rectangular partitions are  $(2, 2)$ ,  $(2, 2, 2)$ ,  $(2, 2, 2, 2)$ ,  $(3, 3)$ ,  $(4, 4)$ . Also true for  $\lambda = (1, \dots, 1)$  and  $\lambda = (n)$ . More supporting evidence:  $n = 9$  and some cases for  $n = 10$  or  $n = 12$ .

## Rectangular partitions › The affine Grassmannian

Let  $\mathbb{K} = K((t))$  be the field of Laurent series with coefficients in  $K$ . Its valuation ring is  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}} = K[[t]]$  and its residue field is  $K$ .

A **lattice**  $L$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$ -submodule of  $\mathbb{K}^d$  of maximal rank  $d$ . It can be represented by a  $d \times d$  matrix with entries in  $\mathbb{K}$ .



## Rectangular partitions › The affine Grassmannian

Let  $\mathbb{K} = K((t))$  be the field of Laurent series with coefficients in  $K$ . Its valuation ring is  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}} = K[[t]]$  and its residue field is  $K$ .

A **lattice**  $L$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$ -submodule of  $\mathbb{K}^d$  of maximal rank  $d$ . It can be represented by a  $d \times d$  matrix with entries in  $\mathbb{K}$ .

The **affine Grassmannian** is the coset space

$$\mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{K}) / \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}})$$

parametrizing all lattices in  $K^d$ . It is also an ind-scheme.

## Rectangular partitions › The affine Grassmannian

Let  $\mathbb{K} = K((t))$  be the field of Laurent series with coefficients in  $K$ . Its valuation ring is  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}} = K[[t]]$  and its residue field is  $K$ .

A **lattice**  $L$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$ -submodule of  $\mathbb{K}^d$  of maximal rank  $d$ . It can be represented by a  $d \times d$  matrix with entries in  $\mathbb{K}$ .

The **affine Grassmannian** is the coset space

$$\mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{K}) / \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}})$$

parametrizing all lattices in  $\mathbb{K}^d$ . It is also an ind-scheme.

To obtain finite-dimensional varieties we can truncate:

$$\mathcal{B}_r = \{L \text{ lattice} : t^r \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}^d \subseteq L \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}^d\}.$$

Modulo *equivalence of lattices*  $\mathcal{B}_r$  is the ball of radius  $r$  around  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}^d$  in the **Bruhat-Tits building** for  $\mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{K})$ .

## Rectangular partitions › Balls in buildings

Both  $t^r \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}^d$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}^d$  are infinite-dimensional vector spaces over  $K$ , but their quotient  $K^n = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}^d / t^r \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}^d$  has dimension  $n = dr$ .

Moreover, every lattice  $L \in \mathcal{B}_r$  is **determined by its image** in  $K^n$ , i.e. there is some  $\ell$  such that  $L \in \text{Gr}(\ell, n)$  and  $tL \subseteq L$ .

## Rectangular partitions › Balls in buildings

Both  $t^r \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}^d$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}^d$  are infinite-dimensional vector spaces over  $K$ , but their quotient  $K^n = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}^d / t^r \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}^d$  has dimension  $n = dr$ .

Moreover, every lattice  $L \in \mathcal{B}_r$  is **determined by its image** in  $K^n$ , i.e. there is some  $\ell$  such that  $L \in \text{Gr}(\ell, n)$  and  $tL \subseteq L$ .

If  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_d$  is the standard basis of  $K^d$ , then

$$e_1, te_1, \dots, t^{r-1}e_1, e_2, te_2, \dots, t^{r-1}e_2, \dots, e_d, te_d, \dots, t^{r-1}e_d$$

is a basis for  $K^n$  and, wrt to this basis, multiplication by  $t$  is given by  $T_\lambda$  for  $\lambda = (r, \dots, r)$ .

**Consequence.**  $\mathcal{B}_r = \bigcup_{\ell=0}^{dr} \text{Gr}(\ell, n)^{T_\lambda}$  where  $\lambda = (r, r, \dots, r)$ .

## This is not $\rangle$ the end of the story

Our next goal is to study  $\Lambda$ -Grassmannians, where  $\Lambda$  is an *order* in  $K^{d \times d}$ . These are unions of Grassmannians of lattices that are stable under the elements of  $\Lambda$ .

**Example.** If  $\Lambda$  is a *ball order*, then the  $\Lambda$ -Grassmannian is a ball in the Bruhat-Tits building.

Next candidates on the list are *bolytrope orders*...

## This is not $\rangle$ the end of the story

Our next goal is to study  $\Lambda$ -Grassmannians, where  $\Lambda$  is an *order* in  $K^{d \times d}$ . These are unions of Grassmannians of lattices that are stable under the elements of  $\Lambda$ .

**Example.** If  $\Lambda$  is a *ball order*, then the  $\Lambda$ -Grassmannian is a ball in the Bruhat-Tits building.

Next candidates on the list are *bolytrope orders*...

thank you